

SECRET
(When Filled In)

Approved For Release 2005/02/17 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001200080008-4
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

25X

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

4 Dec 64

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)
FINAL

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE
COMPLETED

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Input Inspection, Selection and Preparation Device

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☒ YES

☐ NO

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED

100%

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

All accountable items and final report have been received.

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☒ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☐ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☐ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☒ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT ATTACH COMMENTS IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES		X	MANUALS		X
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS		X	FINAL REPORT	X	
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS	X		SPECIAL TOOLING		X
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		X

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

29 Jun 64

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P&DS

25X

5X1
5X1

This contract was completed in July 1963 and the equipment received 2 Sept. 1964. Equipment tested and accepted on 4 Dec. 1964. Equipment was expensive [redacted] for the preparation device received; at the most [redacted] would be more in line with the cost of the device.

Final report has been received and accepted. Due to cost and design, contractor is rated below average.